Case 1:19-cr-00764-JB Document 39 Filed 05/24/19 Page 17 17 ED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MAY 2 4 2019

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

MITCHELL R. ELFERS
CLERK

UNITED STATES OF NEW MEXICO,

Plaintiff,

VS.

No. CR 19-00764 JB

RAYMOND BLAIR KOSIER and TONIANN SANDOVAL.

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH TO FILE MOTIONS AND CONTINUANCE OF THE TRIAL OF JUNE 17, 2019

THIS MATTER coming before the Court on Defendants' Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time in Which to File Motions and Continuance of the Trial of June 17, 2019, the Court having been sufficiently advised in the premises, FINDS that the ends of justice would be served by granting this extension and that the period of delay resulting from such extension shall be excludable from the time limitations set forth within 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(7)(A).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that counsel for Defendants be granted an extension of time in which to file motions in this matter until June 24, 2019, and continuance of the trial of June 17, 2019 to the Court's September, 2019 trial calendar.

United States Distr

Approved:

Electronically filed May 7, 2019

Martin Lopez, III

Attorney for Defendant Sandoval

Approved via e-mail May 6, 2019
Paul Mysliwiec
Assistant U. S. Attorney
Approved via e-mail May 6, 2019 Richard B. Pugh Attorney for Defendant Kosier

After weighing the best interests of the public and of the Defendant with the ends of justice, the Court finds that granting a continuance will strike a proper balance between the ends of justice and the best interests of the public and of the Defendant for the reasons stated in the motion requesting a continuance, filed May 7, 2019 (Doc. 29). Specifically, the Defendants need to obtain discovery, outweighs the Defendants' and the public's interest in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(7). The pretrial is 12019 The trial Court will₁ set the motion deadline (trailing docket). This '[day continuance is Sodenlyn, 3, 2019 sufficient, without being greater than necessary, for the Defendant to complete the tasks set forth in the motion to continue.